UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/579,447	05/16/2006	Ikue Yamashita	278542007000	8452
	7590 06/29/200 FOERSTER LLP	9	EXAMINER	
12531 HIGH B	LUFF DRIVE	WANG-HURST, KATHY W		
SUITE 100 SAN DIEGO, C	CA 92130-2040		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2617	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/29/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/579,447	YAMASHITA ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	KATHY WANG-HURST	2617	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeariod for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with the	e correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perio - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS froute, cause the application to become ABANDO	ON. timely filed om the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ Th 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	nis action is non-final. vance except for formal matters, p		
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-4 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdr 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-4 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and, Application Papers	rawn from consideration.		
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examir	ner		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) according to a deplicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct of the sheet of the sheet and the sheet of t	ccepted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is contact the drawing(s) is contact.	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority document a. ☐ Certified copies of the priority document a. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in Applicationity documents have been received au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ation No ived in this National Stage	
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summa Paper No(s)/Mail 5) Notice of Informa 6) Other:		

Application/Control Number: 10/579,447 Page 2

Art Unit: 2617

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 5/15/2009 has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

2. Applicant's arguments, see page 4 of "Remarks", filed 5/15/2009, with respect to claim 1 have been fully considered and are persuasive. The rejection of 112 has been withdrawn.

Response to Amendment

3. Claims 1 and 4 have been amended. Claims 1-4 are still pending for examination.

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments filed 5/15/2009 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

The applicants argued features wherein the a mobile device, which has multiple functions associated with two states: the open state and closed state, detects the open/closed state of the phone and key impression, and operates the phone such as

locking and unlocking functions based on the detection, read upon Hansen in view of A5306ST Instruction Manual, as follows.

Hansen discusses a communication unit that has two states: open and closed state, and there are keys that are operable when the unit is open or closed and soft keys that lock and unlock the unit. Thus Hansen shows the limitation of "a mobile communication device having an openable/closable cover, a plurality of operation units that are operable regardless of whether the cover is opened or closed, and a locking function for disabling processing associated with operation of the operation units". Hansen discusses the commnication unit having a sliding cover to open or close the unit. Thus Hansen shows the limitation of "an opened/closed detection unit operable to detect an opened/closed state of the cover". Hansen discusses a controller detecting the slide has been close and the left soft key is pressed and the user is pressing a key to perform a predetermined function. Thus Hansen shows the limitation of "a judging unit operable to judge whether a predetermined operation has been performed on at least one of the operation units with the cover in a closed state and the locking function enable". Hansen discusses the controller detecting a soft key is pressed when the slide is closed and locking function is enabled, the locking function is cancelled. Thus Hansen shows the limitation of "an operation control unit operable, when the judging unit has judged in the affirmative, to enable processing associated with operation of at least one of the operation units by temporarily canceling the locking function.

Therefore, the argued limitations read upon the cited references or are written broad such that they read upon the cited references, as follow.

Application/Control Number: 10/579,447 Page 4

Art Unit: 2617

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

6. Claims 1 and 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hansen (US6370362), in view of A5306ST Instruction Manual, herein after referred as Instruction Manual, cited by applicant's IDS.

Regarding claim 1, Hansen discloses a mobile communication device having an openable/closable cover, a plurality of first operation units that are operable regardless of whether the cover is opened or closed, a plurality of second operation units that are operable only when the case is opened, and a locking function for disabling processing associated with operation of the operation units (see e.g. col. 4 lines 3-25 and Fig. 1, 2 and 10, multiple keys that perform multiple functions when the cover is open or closed), comprising: an opened/closed detection unit operable to detect an opened/closed state of the cover (col. 2 lines 30-43 and col. 7 lines 5-12); a judging unit operable to judge whether a predetermined operation has been performed on at least one of the operation units with the cover in a closed state and the locking function enabled (col. 7 lines 5-12, a soft key is pressed when the slide cover is closed and locking function enabled), an operation control unit operable, when the judging unit has judged in the affirmative, to enable processing associated with operation of at least one of the operation units by

temporarily canceling the locking function (at least see col. 7 lines 5-30, pressing a left soft key when the slide cover is closed and locking function is enabled causes the locking function to be cancelled).

Hanson teaches a sliding openable and closable cover but fails to teach openable and closable case. Instruction Manual teaches openable and closable case (see figures on page 6).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the structure of the mobile phone by changing the sliding openable and closable cover to an openable and closable case, as taught by Instruction Manual, thus allowing user to flip the phone open instead of sliding, and thus making the mobile phone more user-friendly.

Regarding claim 4, Hansen discloses a method for controlling a mobile communication device having an openable/closable cover, a plurality of operation units that are operable regardless of whether the cover is opened or closed, and a locking function for disabling processing associated with operation of the operation units (col. 4 lines 3-25 and Fig. 1, 2 and 10, multiple keys that perform multiple functions when the cover is open or closed), comprising the steps of: detecting an opened/closed state of the cover (col. 2 lines 30-43 and col. 7 lines 5-12); judging whether a predetermined operation has been performed on at least one of the operation units with the cover in a closed state and the locking function enabled(col. 7 lines 5-12); when the judging unit has judged in the affirmative, enabling processing associated with operation of at least one of the operation units by temporarily canceling the locking function (col. 7 lines 5-

30, pressing a left soft key when the slide cover is closed and locking function is enabled causes the locking function to be cancelled).

Hanson teaches a sliding openable and closable cover but fails to teach openable and closable case. Instruction Manual teaches openable and closable case (see figures on page 6).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the structure of the mobile phone by changing the sliding openable and closable cover to an openable and closable case, as taught by Instruction Manual, thus allowing user to flip the phone open instead of sliding, and thus making the mobile phone more user-friendly.

Regarding claim 2, Hansen discloses the mobile communication device wherein the operation control unit disables the enabled processing associated with operation of the at least one operation unit, if the at least one operation unit is not operated within a predetermined time period or if the cover is opened (col. 7 lines 5-12, when user does not do anything for more than 3 seconds the display will turn to idle mode).

Hanson teaches a sliding openable and closable cover but fails to teach openable and closable case. Instruction Manual teaches openable and closable case (see figures on page 6).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the structure of the mobile phone by changing the sliding openable and closable cover to an openable and closable case, as Art Unit: 2617

taught by Instruction Manual, thus allowing user to flip the phone open instead of sliding, and thus making the mobile phone more user-friendly.

Page 7

Regarding claim 3, combination of Hansen and Instruction Manual discloses the mobile communication device having a main screen operable to display information with the case in an opened state and a sub-screen operable to display information with the case in a closed state, wherein the first operation units include an operation unit provided on a same surface as the sub-screen and a side key provided on a main body lateral surface, and processing associated with operation of the operation unit provided on the same surface as the sub-screen is disabled when the lock function is enabled.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to KATHY WANG-HURST whose telephone number is (571) 270-5371. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday, 7:30am-5pm, alternate Fridays, EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nick Corsaro can be reached on (571) 272-7876. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/579,447 Page 8

Art Unit: 2617

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/KATHY WANG-HURST/ Examiner, Art Unit 2617

/NICK CORSARO/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2617